

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Rec'd PCT/PTO

10/534506

11 MAY 2005

09 DEC 2004



PCT

10/534506

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-120		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/ES 02/00528	International filing date (day/month/year) 13.11.2002	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.11.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60K35/00		
Applicant DELGADO ACARRETA, Raul		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.  
  
☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  
  
 These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
  - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
  - II ☐ Priority
  - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
  - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
  - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
  - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand  27.04.2004	Date of completion of this report  08.12.2004
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer  Geuss, H  Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7330 

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/ES 02/00528**

**I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

**Description, Pages**

1-10 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-16 as originally filed

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/1 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/ES 02/00528**

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

*(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)*

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

**see separate sheet**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

---

International application No. PCT/ES 02/00528

**Ref. Item V.2**

**Documents**

The following documents cited in the international search report are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: WO 02 03712 A  
D2: EP-A-0 352 153A  
D3: WO 01 60650 A

**Novelty and Inventive Step**

The closest prior art is seen as

a system for displaying information on a first screen connected to a programmable logic control means (driver information system as known in the state of the art, i.e. Mercedes Comand),  
which in turn is connected to an electronic device which includes a second screen (i.e. a mobile phone)  
via a data input / output means, wherein the first screen displays [...] the same information data as displayed by the second screen.

For this system which has been a usual technical realisation before the priority date, no document has been cited.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known system in that the information is displayed inverted, such that a passenger ... can read the image obtained from the first screen reflected in a windscreen of the vehicle.

Therefore the subject-matter of the present claim 1 fulfills the provisions of Art. 33 (2) PCT (Novelty) in view of the state of the art as mentioned in the search report.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

---

International application No. PCT/ES 02/00528

This novelty distinguishing feature is neither known nor rendered obvious by the state of the art documents D1 to D3.

**Clarity Objections**

The set of claims is not clear since dependent claim 10 which refers to claim 1 defines an equipment terminal as defined in claim 1 whereas Claim 1 applies to a system, Art. 6 PCT.

The same problem rises with dependent claim 14.